arXiv:1511.06200v1 [math.CV] 19 Nov 2015

NORM AND ESSENTIAL NORM OF A WEIGHTED COMPOSITION OPERATOR ON THE BLOCH SPACE

XIAOSONG LIU AND SONGXIAO LI†

Abstract. We give some new estimates for the norm and essential norm of a weighted composition operator on the Bloch space. As corollaries, we obtain some new characterizations of the boundedness and compactness of a weighted composition operator on the Bloch space.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ be the unit disk in the complex plane and $H(\mathbb{D})$ be the space of all analytic functions on $\mathbb{D}$. For $a \in \mathbb{D}$, let σ_a be the automorphism of $\mathbb{D}$ exchanging 0 for a, namely $\sigma_a(z) = \frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a}z}$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$. For $0 < p < \infty$, the Bergman space A^p consists of all $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$\|f\|_{A^p}^p = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^p dA(z) < \infty,$$

where $dA(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} dxdy$ denote the normalized area Lebesgue measure. The Bloch space, denoted by $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D})$, is the space of all $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$\|f\|_\beta = \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2)|f'(z)| < \infty.$$

Under the norm $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} = |f(0)| + \|f\|_\beta$, the Bloch space is a Banach space. From Theorem 1 of [1], we see that

$$\|f\|_\beta \approx \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} \|f \circ \sigma_a - f(a)\|_{A^2}.$$

See [23] for more information of the Bloch space.

For $0 < p < \infty$, let H^p denote the Hardy space of functions $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$\|f\|_{H^p}^p = \sup_{0<r<1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta < \infty.$$

We say that an $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ belongs to the $BMOA$ space, if

$$\|f\|_*^2 = \sup_{I\subseteq\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |f(\zeta) - f_I|^2 \frac{d\zeta}{2\pi} < \infty,$$

Date: November 20, 2015.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30H30, 47B38.

Key words and phrases. Weighted composition operator, norm, essential norm, Bloch space.

This work is supported by NSF of China (No.11471143).

†Corresponding author.

where $f_I = \frac{1}{|I|}\int_I f(\zeta)\frac{d\zeta}{2\pi}$. It is well known that $BMOA$ is a Banach space under the norm $\|f\|_{BMOA} = |f(0)| + \|f\|_*$. From [6], we have

$$\|f\|_* \approx \sup_{w\in\mathbb{D}} \|f\circ\sigma_w - f(w)\|_{H^2}.$$

Throughout the paper, $S(\mathbb{D})$ denotes the set of all analytic self-maps of $\mathbb{D}$. Let $u \in H(\mathbb{D})$ and $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$. For $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$, the composition operator C_φ and the multiplication operator M_u are defined by

$$(C_\varphi f)(z) = f(\varphi(z)) \text{ and } (M_u f)(z) = u(z)f(z),$$

respectively. The weighted composition operator uC_φ, induced by u and φ, is defined as follows.

$$(uC_\varphi f)(z) = u(z)f(\varphi(z)), \quad f \in H(\mathbb{D}).$$

It is clear that the weighted composition operator uC_φ is the generalization of C_φ and M_u.

It is well known that C_φ is bounded on $BMOA$ for any $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$ by Littlewood's subordination theorem. The compactness of the operator $C_\varphi : BMOA \to BMOA$ was studied in [2, 5, 17, 19, 20]. Based on results in [2] and [17], Wulan in [19] showed that $C_\varphi : BMOA \to BMOA$ is compact if and only if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\varphi^n\|_* = 0 \quad \textit{and} \quad \lim_{|\varphi(a)|\to 1} \|\sigma_a\circ\varphi\|_* = 0.$$

In [20], Wulan, Zheng and Zhu further showed that $C_\varphi : BMOA \to BMOA$ is compact if and only if $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|\varphi^n\|_* = 0$. In [8], Laitila gave some function theoretic characterizations for the boundedness and compactness of the operator $uC_\varphi : BMOA \to BMOA$. In [4], Colonna used the idea of [20] and showed that $uC_\varphi : BMOA \to BMOA$ is compact if and only if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|u\varphi^n\|_* = 0 \ \ \textit{and} \ \ \lim_{|\varphi(a)|\to 1} \big(\log\frac{2}{1-|\varphi(a)|^2}\big)\|u\circ\sigma_a - u(a)\|_{H^2} = 0.$$

Motivated by results in [4], Laitila and Lindström gave the estimates for norm and essential norm of the weighted composition $uC_\varphi : BMOA \to BMOA$ in [9], among others, they showed that, under the assumption of the boundedness of uC_φ on $BMOA$,

$$\|uC_\varphi\|_{e,BMOA\to BMOA} \approx \limsup_{n\to\infty}\|u\varphi^n\|_* + \limsup_{|\varphi(a)|\to 1}\big(\log\frac{2}{1-|\varphi(a)|^2}\big)\|u\circ\sigma_a - u(a)\|_{H^2}.$$

Recall that the essential norm of a bounded linear operator $T : X \to Y$ is its distance to the set of compact operators K mapping X into Y, that is,

$$\|T\|_{e,X\to Y} = \inf\{\|T-K\|_{X\to Y} : K \text{ is compact }\},$$

where X, Y are Banach spaces and $\|\cdot\|_{X\to Y}$ is the operator norm.

By Schwarz-Pick lemma, it is easy to see that C_φ is bounded on the Bloch space $\mathcal{B}$ for any $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$. The compactness of C_φ on $\mathcal{B}$ was studied in for example [10, 12, 18, 20, 22]. In [20], Wulan, Zheng and Zhu proved that $C_\varphi : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$ is compact if and only if $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|\varphi^n\|_{\mathcal{B}} = 0$. In [22], Zhao obtained the exact value for the essential norm of $C_\varphi : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$ as follows.

$$\|C_\varphi\|_{e,\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}} = \Big(\frac{e}{2}\Big)\limsup_{n\to\infty}\|\varphi^n\|_{\mathcal{B}}.$$

In [14], Ohno, Stroethoff and Zhao studied the boundedness and compactness of the operator $uC_\varphi : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$. In [3], Colonna provided a new characterization of the boundedness and compactness of the operator $uC_\varphi : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$ by using $\|u\varphi^n\|_{\mathcal{B}}$. The essential norm of the operator $uC_\varphi : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$ was studied in [7, 11, 13]. In [11], the authors proved that

$$\|uC_\varphi\|_{e,\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}}$$
$$\approx \max\Big(\limsup_{|\varphi(a)|\to 1} \frac{|u(z)\varphi'(z)|(1-|z|^2)}{1-|\varphi(z)|^2},\ \limsup_{|\varphi(a)|\to 1} \log\frac{e}{1-|\varphi(a)|^2}|u'(z)|(1-|z|^2)\Big)$$

In [7], the authors obtained a new estimate for the essential norm of $uC_\varphi : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$, i.e., they showed that

$$\|uC_\varphi\|_{e,\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}} \approx \max\Big(\limsup_{j\to\infty} \|I_u(\varphi^j)\|_{\mathcal{B}},\ \limsup_{j\to\infty} \log n\|J_u(\varphi^j)\|_{\mathcal{B}}\Big),$$

where $I_u f(z) = \int_0^z f'(\zeta)u(\zeta)d\zeta, J_u f(z) = \int_0^z f(\zeta)u'(\zeta)d\zeta$.

Motivated by the work of [3, 4, 9, 20], the aim of this article is to give some new estimates for the norm and essential norm of the operator $uC_\varphi : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$. As corollaries, we obtain some new characterizations for the boundedness and compactness of the operator $uC_\varphi : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$.

Throughout this paper, constants are denoted by C, they are positive and may differ from one occurrence to the other. The notation $a \lesssim b$ means that there is a positive constant C such that $a \le Cb$. Moreover, if both $a \lesssim b$ and $b \lesssim a$ hold, then one says that $a \approx b$.

2. Norm of uC_φ on the Bloch space

In this section we give some estimates for the norm of the operator $uC_\varphi : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$. For this purpose, we need some lemmas which stated as follows. The following lemma can be found in [23].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{B}$. Then*

$$|f(z)| \lesssim \log\frac{2}{1-|z|^2}\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}},\ \ z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Lemma 2.2. *For $2 \le p < \infty$ and $f \in \mathcal{B}$,*

$$\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} \|f\circ\sigma_a - f(a)\|_{A^2} \approx \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} \|f\circ\sigma_a - f(a)\|_{A^p}.$$

Proof. Using Hölder inequality, we get

$$\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} \|f\circ\sigma_a - f(a)\|_{A^2} \le \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} \|f\circ\sigma_a - f(a)\|_{A^p}, \tag{2.1}$$

for $2 \le p < \infty$.

On the other hand, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that (see [21, p.38])

$$\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} \|f\circ\sigma_a - f(a)\|_{A^p} \le C\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \lesssim \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} \|f\circ\sigma_a - f(a)\|_{A^2}, \tag{2.2}$$

which, combined with (2.1), implies the desired result. □

Lemma 2.3. [16] *For $f \in A^2$,*

$$\|f\|_{A^2}^2 \approx |f(0)|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(w)|^2(1-|w|^2)^2 dA(w).$$

The classical Nevanlinna counting function N_φ and the generalized Nevanlinna counting functions $N_{\varphi,\gamma}$ for φ are defined by (see [15])

$$N_\varphi(w)=\sum_{z\in\varphi^{-1}\{w\}}\log\frac{1}{|z|}\ \text{ and }\ N_{\varphi,\gamma}(w)=\sum_{z\in\varphi^{-1}\{w\}}\big(\log\frac{1}{|z|}\big)^\gamma,$$

respectively, where $\gamma>0$ and $w\in\mathbb{D}\backslash\{\varphi(0)\}$.

Lemma 2.4. [16] *Let $\varphi\in S(\mathbb{D})$ and $f\in A^2$. Then*

$$\|f\circ\varphi\|_{A^2}^2\approx|f(\varphi(0))|^2+\int_{\mathbb{D}}|f'(w)|^2N_{\varphi,2}(w)dA(w).$$

Lemma 2.5. [15] *Let $\varphi\in S(\mathbb{D})$ and $\gamma>0$. If $\varphi(0)\neq0$ and $0<r<|\varphi(0)|$, then*

$$N_{\varphi,\gamma}(0)\le\frac{1}{r^2}\int_{r\mathbb{D}}N_{\varphi,\gamma}dA.$$

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\varphi\in S(\mathbb{D})$ such that $\varphi(0)=0$. If $\sup_{0<|w|<1}|w|^2N_{\varphi,2}(w)<\delta$, then*

$$N_{\varphi,2}(w)\le\frac{4\delta}{(\log2)^2}\big(\log\frac{1}{|w|}\big)^2 \tag{2.3}$$

when $\frac12\le|w|<1$.

Proof. See the proof of Lemma 2.1 in [17]. □

Lemma 2.7. *For all $g\in A^2$ and $\phi\in S(\mathbb{D})$ such $g(0)=\phi(0)=0$, we have*

$$\|g\circ\phi\|_{A^2}\lesssim\|\phi\|_{A^2}\|g\|_{A^2}. \tag{2.4}$$

In particular, for all $f\in\mathcal{B}$, $a\in\mathbb{D}$ and $\varphi\in S(\mathbb{D})$,

$$\|f\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a-f(\varphi(a))\|_{A^2}\lesssim\|\sigma_{\varphi(a)}\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a\|_{A^2}\|f\circ\sigma_a-f(a)\|_{A^2}.$$

Proof. Let $\phi\in S(\mathbb{D})$ such that $\phi(0)=0$. Then,

$$\|\sigma_z\circ\phi-\sigma_z(\phi(0))\|_{A^2}^2=\int_{\mathbb{D}}\frac{(1-|z|^2)^2|\phi(w)|^2}{|1-\bar z\phi(w)|^2}dA(w)\le4\|\phi\|_{A^2}^2. \tag{2.5}$$

From Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, and (2.5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sigma_z\circ\phi-\sigma_z(\phi(0))\|_{A^2}^2&=\int_{\mathbb{D}}|(\sigma_z\circ\phi)'|^2(\log\frac{1}{|w|})^2dA(w)\\&=\int_{\mathbb{D}}N_{\sigma_z\circ\phi,2}dA(w)\le4\|\phi\|_{A^2}^2.\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

For $z\in\mathbb{D}\setminus\{0\}$, from Lemma 4.2 in [16] and Lemma 2.5, we have

$$|z|^2N_{\phi,2}(z)=|z|^2N_{\sigma_z\circ\phi,2}(0)\le\int_{|z|\mathbb{D}}N_{\sigma_z\circ\phi,2}(w)dA(w)\le4\|\phi\|_{A^2}^2. \tag{2.7}$$

So, by Lemma 2.6 we get

$$N_{\phi,2}(z)\le\frac{16}{(\log2)^2}\|\phi\|_{A^2}^2(\log\frac{1}{|z|})^2, \tag{2.8}$$

for $z\in\mathbb{D}\setminus\frac12\mathbb{D}$. Thus,

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}\setminus\frac12\mathbb{D}}|g'(z)|^2N_{\phi,2}(z)dA(z)\le\frac{16}{(\log2)^2}\|\phi\|_{A^2}^2\|g\|_{A^2}^2. \tag{2.9}$$

In addition, for $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and $g \in A^2$, from Theorems 4.14 and 4.28 of [23], we have $|g'(z)| \leq (1-|z|^2)^{-2}\|g\|_{A^2}$. Then,

$$\int_{\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{D}} |g'(z)|^2 N_{\phi,2}(z)dA(z) \leq 16\|g\|_{A^2}^2 \int_{\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{D}} N_{\phi,2}(z)dA(z) \leq 16\|\phi\|_{A^2}^2\|g\|_{A^2}^2. \tag{2.10}$$

Since $g(0) = 0$, by Lemma 2.4 we have

$$\|g\circ\phi\|_{A^2}^2 \approx \int_{\mathbb{D}} |g'(z)|^2 N_{\phi,2}(z)dA(z). \tag{2.11}$$

Combine with (2.9), (2.10) and (2.11), we obtain

$$\|g\circ\phi\|_{A^2} \lesssim \|\phi\|_{A^2}\|g\|_{A^2},$$

as desired. In particular, for all $f \in \mathcal{B}$, $a \in \mathbb{D}$ and $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$, if we set

$$g = f\circ\sigma_{\varphi(a)} - f(\varphi(a)),\ \phi = \sigma_{\varphi(a)}\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a,$$

we get

$$\|f\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a - f(\varphi(a))\|_{A^2} \lesssim \|\sigma_{\varphi(a)}\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a\|_{A^2}\|f\circ\sigma_a - f(a)\|_{A^2}.$$

The proof is complete. □

For the simplicity of the rest of this paper, we introduce the following abbreviation. Set

$$\alpha(u,\varphi,a) = |u(a)|\cdot\|\sigma_{\varphi(a)}\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a\|_{A^2},$$

$$\beta(u,\varphi,a) = \log\frac{2}{1-|\varphi(a)|^2}\|u\circ\sigma_a - u(a)\|_{A^2},$$

where $a \in \mathbb{D}$, $u \in H(\mathbb{D})$ and $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$.

Theorem 2.8. *Let $u \in H(\mathbb{D})$ and $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$. Then*

$$\|uC_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}} \approx |u(0)|\log\frac{2}{1-|\varphi(0)|^2} + \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\alpha(u,\varphi,a) + \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\beta(u,\varphi,a).$$

Proof. First we give the upper estimate for $\|uC_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}}$. For all $f \in \mathcal{B}$, using the triangle inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|(uC_\varphi f)\circ\sigma_a - (uC_\varphi f)(a)\|_{A^2} \\
= \ & \|(u\circ\sigma_a - u(a))\cdot(f\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a - f(\varphi(a))) \\
& +u(a)(f\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a - f(\varphi(a))) + (u\circ\sigma_a - u(a))f(\varphi(a))\|_{A^2} \\
\leq \ & \|(u\circ\sigma_a - u(a))\cdot(f\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a - f(\varphi(a)))\|_{A^2} \\
& +|u(a)|\|f\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a - f(\varphi(a))\|_{A^2} + |f(\varphi(a))|\|u\circ\sigma_a - u(a)\|_{A^2}. \qquad (2.12)
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |u(a)|\|f\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a - f(\varphi(a))\|_{A^2} + |f(\varphi(a))|\|u\circ\sigma_a - u(a)\|_{A^2} \\
\lesssim \ & \alpha(u,\varphi,a)\|f\circ\sigma_a - f(a)\|_{A^2} + \log\frac{2}{1-|\varphi(a)|^2}\|u\circ\sigma_a - u(a)\|_{A^2}\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \\
\lesssim \ & \big(\alpha(u,\varphi,a) + \beta(u,\varphi,a)\big)\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}. \qquad (2.13)
\end{aligned}$$

From Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\|(u\circ\sigma_a-u(a))\cdot(f\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a-f(\varphi(a)))\|_{A^2}\\
\lesssim\ &\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\log 2\|u\circ\sigma_a-u(a)\|_{A^2}\|f\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a-f(\varphi(a))\|_{A^2}\\
\lesssim\ &\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\log\frac{2}{1-|\varphi(a)|^2}\|u\circ\sigma_a-u(a)\|_{A^2}\|f\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a-f(\varphi(a))\|_{A^2}\\
\lesssim\ &\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\beta(u,\varphi,a)\|f\circ\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}}\lesssim\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\beta(u,\varphi,a)\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}. \qquad (2.14)
\end{aligned}$$

Then, by (2.12), (2.13) and (2.14), we have

$$\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\|(uC_\varphi f)\circ\sigma_a-(uC_\varphi f)(a)\|_{A^2}\lesssim\big(\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\alpha(u,\varphi,a)+\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\beta(u,\varphi,a)\big)\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}.$$

In addition, by Lemma 2.1, $|(uC_\varphi f)(0)|\lesssim|u(0)|\log\frac{2}{1-|\varphi(0)|^2}\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|uC_\varphi f\|_{\mathcal{B}}\ &\approx\ |(uC_\varphi f)(0)|+\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\|(uC_\varphi f)\circ\sigma_a-(uC_\varphi f)(a)\|_{A^2}\\
&\lesssim\ |u(0)|\log\frac{2}{1-|\varphi(0)|^2}\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}+\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\alpha(u,\varphi,a)\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}+\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\beta(u,\varphi,a)\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}},
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\|uC_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}}\lesssim|u(0)|\log\frac{2}{1-|\varphi(0)|^2}+\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\alpha(u,\varphi,a)+\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\beta(u,\varphi,a). \qquad (2.15)$$

Next we find the lower estimate for $\|uC_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}}$. Let $f=1$. It is easy to see that $\|u\|_{\mathcal{B}}\le\|uC_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}}$. For any $a\in\mathbb{D}$, set

$$f_a(z)=\sigma_{\varphi(a)}(z)-\varphi(a),\quad z\in\mathbb{D}. \qquad (2.16)$$

Then, $f_a(0)=0$, $f_a(\varphi(a))=-\varphi(a)$, $\|f_a\|_{\mathcal{B}}\le 4$ and $\|f_a\|_\infty\le 2$. Using triangle inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha(u,\varphi,a)\ &=\ |u(a)|\cdot\|\sigma_{\varphi(a)}\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a-\varphi(a)+\varphi(a)\|_{A^2}\\
&=\ \|u(a)\cdot(f_a\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a-f_a(\varphi(a)))\|_{A^2}\\
&\le\ \|(u\circ\sigma_a-u(a))\cdot f_a\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a\|_{A^2}\\
&\quad\ +\|(u\circ\sigma_a)\cdot f_a\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a-u(a)f_a(\varphi(a))\|_{A^2} \qquad (2.17)\\
&\le\ 2\|u\circ\sigma_a-u(a)\|_{A^2}+\|(uC_\varphi f_a)\circ\sigma_a-(uC_\varphi f_a)(a)\|_{A^2}\\
&\le\ 2\|u\|_{\mathcal{B}}+4\|uC_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}}\le 6\|uC_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Set

$$h_a(z)=\log\frac{2}{1-\overline{\varphi(a)}z},\quad z\in\mathbb{D}. \qquad (2.18)$$

Then, $h_a \in \mathcal{B}$, $h_a(\varphi(a)) = \log \frac{2}{1-|\varphi(a)|^2}$ and $\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} \|h_a\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq 2+\log 2$. Using triangle inequality and Lemma 2.7, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta(u,\varphi,a) &= \|\log\frac{2}{1-|\varphi(a)|^2}\cdot(u\circ\sigma_a - u(a))\|_{A^2} \\
&= \|h_a(\varphi(a))(u\circ\sigma_a - u(a))\|_{A^2} \\
&\leq \|(h_a\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a - h_a(\varphi(a)))\cdot(u\circ\sigma_a - u(a))\|_{A^2} \\
&\quad +\|(u\circ\sigma_a)\cdot h_a\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a - u(a)h_a(\varphi(a))\|_{A^2} \qquad (2.19)\\
&\quad +\|u(a)(h_a\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a - h_a(\varphi(a)))\|_{A^2} \\
&\lesssim \|(h_a\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a - h_a(\varphi(a)))\cdot(u\circ\sigma_a - u(a))\|_{A^2} \\
&\quad +\|(uC_\varphi h_a)\circ\sigma_a - (uC_\varphi h_a)(a)\|_{A^2} + \alpha(u,\varphi,a)\|h_a\circ\sigma_a - h_a(a)\|_{A^2} \\
&\lesssim \|(h_a\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a - h_a(\varphi(a)))\cdot(u\circ\sigma_a - u(a))\|_{A^2} \\
&\quad +(2+\log 2)\|uC_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}} + (2+\log 2)\alpha(u,\varphi,a).
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(h_a\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a - h_a(\varphi(a)))\cdot(u\circ\sigma_a - u(a))\|_{A^2} \\
\lesssim\ &\|(h_a\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a - h_a(\varphi(a)))\|_{A^2}\|u\circ\sigma_a - u(a)\|_{A^2} \\
\leq\ &\|h_a\circ\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}}\|u\|_{\mathcal{B}} \lesssim \|uC_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}}. \qquad (2.20)
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.17), (2.19) and (2.20), we have

$$\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\alpha(u,\varphi,a) + \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\beta(u,\varphi,a) \lesssim \|uC_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}}.$$

Moreover, since

$$|u(0)|\log\frac{2}{1-|\varphi(0)|^2} = |(uC_\varphi h_0)(0)| \leq (2+\log 2)\|uC_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}} \lesssim \|uC_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}}.$$

Therefore,

$$|u(0)|\log\frac{2}{1-|\varphi(0)|^2} + \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\alpha(u,\varphi,a) + \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\beta(u,\varphi,a) \lesssim \|uC_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}}.$$

We complete the proof of the theorem. □

As a corollary, we obtain the following new characterization of the boundedness of $uC_\varphi : \mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}$.

Corollary 2.9. *Let $u \in H(\mathbb{D})$ and $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$. Then $uC_\varphi : \mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}$ is bounded if and only if*

$$\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}|u(a)|\cdot\|\sigma_{\varphi(a)}\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a\|_{A^2} < \infty$$

and

$$\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\log\frac{2}{1-|\varphi(a)|^2}\|u\circ\sigma_a - u(a)\|_{A^2} < \infty.$$

In particular, when $\varphi(z) = z$, we obtain the estimate of the norm of the multiplication $M_u : \mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}$.

Corollary 2.10. *Let $u \in H(\mathbb{D})$. Then*

$$\|M_u\|_{\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}} \approx |u(0)|\log 2 + \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\log\frac{2}{1-|a|^2}\|u\circ\sigma_a - u(a)\|_{A^2}.$$

Lemma 2.11. *Suppose that $uC_\varphi : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$ is bounded. Then*

$$\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} \|uC_\varphi(\sigma_{\varphi(a)} - \varphi(a))\|_{\mathcal{B}} \approx \sup_{n\geq 0} \|u\varphi^n\|_{\mathcal{B}} \tag{2.21}$$

and

$$\limsup_{|\varphi(a)|\to 1} \|uC_\varphi(\sigma_{\varphi(a)} - \varphi(a))\|_{\mathcal{B}} \lesssim \limsup_{n\to\infty} \|u\varphi^n\|_{\mathcal{B}}. \tag{2.22}$$

Proof. From Corollary 2.1 of [3], we see that

$$\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} \|uC_\varphi\sigma_{\varphi(a)}\|_{\mathcal{B}} \approx \sup_{n\geq 0} \|u\varphi^n\|_{\mathcal{B}}.$$

Then (2.21) follows immediately.

The Taylor expansion of $\sigma_{\varphi(a)} - \varphi(a)$ is

$$\sigma_{\varphi(a)} - \varphi(a) = -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \big(\overline{\varphi(a)}\big)^n (1 - |\varphi(a)|^2) z^{n+1}.$$

Then, by the boundedness of $uC_\varphi : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$ we have

$$\|uC_\varphi(\sigma_{\varphi(a)} - \varphi(a))\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq (1 - |\varphi(a)|^2) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\varphi(a)|^n \|u\varphi^{n+1}\|_{\mathcal{B}}$$

For each N, set

$$M_1 =: \sum_{n=0}^{N} |\varphi(a)|^n \|u\varphi^{n+1}\|_{\mathcal{B}}.$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|uC_\varphi(\sigma_{\varphi(a)} - \varphi(a))\|_{\mathcal{B}} \\
\leq\ & (1 - |\varphi(a)|^2) \sum_{n=0}^{N} |\varphi(a)|^n \|u\varphi^{n+1}\|_{\mathcal{B}} + \big((1 - |\varphi(a)|^2) \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} |\varphi(a)|^n\big) \|u\varphi^{n+1}\|_{\mathcal{B}} \\
\leq\ & M_1(1 - |\varphi(a)|^2) + \big((1 - |\varphi(a)|^2) \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} |\varphi(a)|^n \sup_{n\geq N+1} \|u\varphi^{n+1}\|_{\mathcal{B}} \\
\leq\ & M_1(1 - |\varphi(a)|^2) + 2 \sup_{n\geq N+1} \|u\varphi^{n+1}\|_{\mathcal{B}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking $\limsup_{|\varphi(a)|\to 1}$ to the last inequality and then letting $N \to \infty$, we get the desired result. □

Proposition 2.12. *Let $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$ and $u \in H(\mathbb{D})$. The following statements hold.*

(i) *For $a \in \mathbb{D}$, let $f_a(z) = \sigma_{\varphi(a)} - \varphi(a)$. Then*

$$\alpha(u, \varphi, a) \lesssim \frac{\beta(u, \varphi, a)}{\log \frac{2}{1-|\varphi(a)|^2}} + \|(uC_\varphi f_a) \circ \sigma_a - (uC_\varphi f_a)(a)\|_{A^2}.$$

(ii) *For $a \in \mathbb{D}$, let $g_a = \frac{h_a^2}{h_a(\varphi(a))}$, where $h_a(z) = \log \frac{2}{1-\overline{\varphi(a)}z}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta(u, \varphi, a) \lesssim\ & \alpha(u, \varphi, a) + \|(g_a \circ \varphi \circ \sigma_a - g_a(\varphi(a))) \cdot (u \circ \sigma_a - u(a))\|_{A^2} \\
& + \|(uC_\varphi g_a) \circ \sigma_a - (uC_\varphi g_a)(a)\|_{A^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

(iii) *For all $f \in \mathcal{B}$ and $a \in \mathbb{D}$,*

$$\begin{aligned}\|(uC_\varphi f)\circ\sigma_a - (uC_\varphi f)(a)\|_{A^2} &\lesssim \|(u\circ\sigma_a - u(a))\cdot(f\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a - f(\varphi(a)))\|_{A^2}\\ &\quad + \Big(\alpha(u,\varphi,a)+\beta(u,\varphi,a)\Big)\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}.\end{aligned}$$

(iv) *For all $f \in \mathcal{B}$ and $a \in \mathbb{D}$,*

$$\begin{aligned}&\|(u\circ\sigma_a - u(a))\cdot(f\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a - f(\varphi(a)))\|_{A^2}\\ \lesssim\ &\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}\min\Big\{\sup_{w\in\mathbb{D}}\beta(u,\varphi,w), \frac{\|uC_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}}}{\sqrt{\log\frac{2}{1-|\varphi(a)|^2}}}\Big\}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. (i) It is easy to see that $\|f_a\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a\|_\infty \le 2$. For any $a\in\mathbb{D}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(u,\varphi,a) &= |u(a)|\|f\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a - f(\varphi(a))\|_{A^2}\\ &= \|(u\circ\sigma_a - u(a))\cdot f_a\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a - (uC_\varphi f_a)\circ\sigma_a - (uC_\varphi f_a)(a)\|_{A^2}\\ &\lesssim \|u\circ\sigma_a - u(a)\|_{A^2} + \|(uC_\varphi f_a)\circ\sigma_a - (uC_\varphi f_a)(a)\|_{A^2}\\ &\le \frac{\beta(u,\varphi,a)}{\log\frac{2}{1-|\varphi(a)|^2}} + \|(uC_\varphi f_a)\circ\sigma_a - (uC_\varphi f_a)(a)\|_{A^2}.\end{aligned}$$

(ii) It is obvious that $g_a(\varphi(a)) = \log\frac{2}{1-|\varphi(a)|^2}$. Since $(g_a\circ\sigma_{\varphi(a)} - g_a(\varphi(a)))(0) = 0$,

$$g_a\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a - g_a(\varphi(a)) = g_a\circ\sigma_{\varphi(a)}\circ(\sigma_{\varphi(a)}\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a) - g_a(\varphi(a)),$$

by Lemma 2.7 and the fact that $\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\|g_a\|_{\mathcal{B}} < \infty$ we obtain

$$|u(a)|\|g_a\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a - g_a(\varphi(a))\|_{A^2} \lesssim \alpha(u,\varphi,a)\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\|g_a\|_{\mathcal{B}} \lesssim \alpha(u,\varphi,a).$$

By the triangle inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned}\beta(u,\varphi,a) &= \|g_a(\varphi(a))\cdot(u\circ\sigma_a - u(a))\|_{A^2}\\ &= \|(g_a\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a - g_a(\varphi(a)))\cdot(u\circ\sigma_a - u(a))\\ &\quad + u(a)(g_a\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a - g_a(\varphi(a))) - (u(a)g_a\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a - u(a)g_a(\varphi(a)))\|_{A^2}\\ &\le \|(g_a\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a - g_a(\varphi(a)))\cdot(u\circ\sigma_a - u(a))\|_{A^2}\\ &\quad + |u(a)|\|g_a\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a - g_a(\varphi(a))\|_{A^2} + \|(uC_\varphi g_a)\circ\sigma_a - (uC_\varphi g_a)(a)\|_{A^2}\\ &\lesssim \alpha(u,\varphi,a) + \|(g_a\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a - g_a(\varphi(a)))\cdot(u\circ\sigma_a - u(a))\|_{A^2}\\ &\quad + \|(uC_\varphi g_a)\circ\sigma_a - (uC_\varphi g_a)(a)\|_{A^2},\end{aligned}$$

as desired.

(iii) See the proof of Theorem 2.8.

(iv) Using the fact that $\log 2 \le \log\frac{2}{1-|\varphi(a)|^2}$ and Theorem 2.8, we have

$$\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\|u\circ\sigma_a - u(a)\|_{A^2} \le \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\beta(u,\varphi,a) \lesssim \|uC_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}}. \tag{2.23}$$

By Lemma 2.2 and Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\|(u\circ\sigma_a - u(a))\cdot(f\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a - f(\varphi(a)))\|_{A^2}^2\\ =\ &\|(u\circ\sigma_a - u(a))^2(f\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a - f(\varphi(a)))^2\|_{A^1}\\ \le\ &\|u\circ\sigma_a - u(a)\|_{A^2}\|u\circ\sigma_a - u(a)\|_{A^4}\|f\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a - f(\varphi(a))\|_{A^8}^2\\ \le\ &\|u\circ\sigma_a - u(a)\|_{A^2}\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\|u\circ\sigma_a - u(a)\|_{A^4}\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\|f\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a - f(\varphi(a))\|_{A^8}^2\\ \lesssim\ &\beta(u,\varphi,a)\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\|u\circ\sigma_a - u(a)\|_{A^2}\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\|f\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a - f(\varphi(a))\|_{A^2}^2/\log\frac{2}{1-|\varphi(a)|^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Then, by the boundedness of C_φ on $\mathcal{B}$ and (2.23), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\beta(u,\varphi,a)\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\|u\circ\sigma_a-u(a)\|_{A^2}\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\|f\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a-f(\varphi(a))\|_{A^2}^2/\log\frac{2}{1-|\varphi(a)|^2}\\
\lesssim\ &(\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\beta(u,\varphi,a))^2\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\|f\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a-f(\varphi(a))\|_{A^2}^2/\log\frac{2}{1-|\varphi(a)|^2}\\
\lesssim\ &\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\|f\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a-f(\varphi(a))\|_{A^2}^2\min\left\{\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\beta(u,\varphi,a),\frac{\|uC_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}}}{\sqrt{\log\frac{2}{1-|\varphi(a)|^2}}}\right\}^2\\
\lesssim\ &\|f\circ\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}}^2\min\left\{\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\beta(u,\varphi,a),\frac{\|uC_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}}}{\sqrt{\log\frac{2}{1-|\varphi(a)|^2}}}\right\}^2\\
\lesssim\ &\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}^2\min\left\{\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\beta(u,\varphi,a),\frac{\|uC_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}}}{\sqrt{\log\frac{2}{1-|\varphi(a)|^2}}}\right\}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. □

Theorem 2.13. *Let $u\in H(\mathbb{D})$ and $\varphi\in S(\mathbb{D})$. Suppose that uC_φ is bounded on $\mathcal{B}$. Then*

$$\|uC_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}}\ \approx\ |u(0)|\log\frac{2}{1-|\varphi(0)|^2}+\sup_{n\geq0}\|u\varphi^n\|_{\mathcal{B}}+\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\beta(u,\varphi,a).$$

Proof. For any $f\in\mathcal{B}$, by (iii) and (iv) of Proposition 2.12, we get

$$\|uC_\varphi f\|_\beta\lesssim\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\big(\alpha(u,\varphi,a)+\beta(u,\varphi,a)\big)\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}.$$

By Lemma 2.11 and (i) of Proposition 2.12, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha(u,\varphi,a)\ &\lesssim\ \beta(u,\varphi,a)/\log\frac{2}{1-|\varphi(a)|^2}+\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\|uC_\varphi f_a\|_{\mathcal{B}}\\
&\lesssim\ \beta(u,\varphi,a)+\sup_{n\geq0}\|u\varphi^n\|_{\mathcal{B}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|uC_\varphi f\|_\beta\lesssim\big(\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\beta(u,\varphi,a)+\sup_{n\geq0}\|u\varphi^n\|_{\mathcal{B}}\big)\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}.$$

In addition, $(uC_\varphi f)(0)|=|u(0)||f(\varphi(0))|\lesssim|u(0)||\log\frac{2}{1-|\varphi(0)|^2}\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}$. Therefore,

$$\|uC_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}}\lesssim|u(0)|\log\frac{2}{1-|\varphi(0)|^2}+\sup_{n\geq0}\|u\varphi^n\|_{\mathcal{B}}+\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\beta(u,\varphi,a).$$

On the other hand, let $f(z)=z^n$. Then $f\in\mathcal{B}$ for all $n\geq0$. Thus

$$\sup_{n\geq0}\|u\varphi^n\|_{\mathcal{B}}=\sup_{n\geq0}\|(uC_\varphi)z^n\|_{\mathcal{B}}\leq\|uC_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}}<\infty,$$

which, together with Theorem 2.8, implies

$$|u(0)|\log\frac{2}{1-|\varphi(0)|^2}+\sup_n\|u\varphi^n\|_{\mathcal{B}}+\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\beta(u,\varphi,a)\lesssim\|uC_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}}.$$

The proof of the theorem is complete. □

Corollary 2.14. *Let $u \in H(\mathbb{D})$ and $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$. Then $uC_\varphi : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$ is bounded if and only if*

$$\sup_{n\geq 0}\|u\varphi^n\|_{\mathcal{B}} < \infty \text{ and } \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} \log\frac{2}{1-|\varphi(a)|^2}\|u\circ\sigma_a - u(a)\|_{A^2} < \infty.$$

3. Essential norm of uC_φ on the Bloch space

In this section we characterize the essential norm of the weighted composition operator $uC_\varphi : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$ by using various form, especially we will use the Bloch norm of $u\varphi^n$. For $t \in (0,1)$, we define

$$E(\varphi, a, t) = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : |(\sigma_{\varphi(a)} \circ \varphi \circ \sigma_a)(z)| > t\}.$$

Similarly to the proof of Lemma 9 of [9], we get the following result. Since the proof is similar, we omit the details.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $u \in H(\mathbb{D})$ and $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$. Then*

$$\widetilde{\gamma} := \limsup_{r\to 1}\limsup_{t\to 1}\sup_{|\varphi(a)|\leq r}\Big(\int_{E(\varphi,a,t)} |u(\sigma_a(z))|^4 dA(z)\Big)^{1/4} \lesssim \limsup_{n\to\infty}\|u\varphi^n\|_{\mathcal{B}}.$$

Theorem 3.2. *Let $u \in H(\mathbb{D})$ and $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$ such that $uC_\varphi : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$ is bounded. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
\|uC_\varphi\|_{e,\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}} &\approx \limsup_{n\to\infty}\|u\varphi^n\|_{\mathcal{B}} + \limsup_{|\varphi(a)|\to 1}\|uC_\varphi g_a\|_{\mathcal{B}} \\
&\approx \widetilde{\alpha} + \widetilde{\beta} + \widetilde{\gamma} \\
&\approx \widetilde{\alpha} + \limsup_{|\varphi(a)|\to 1}\|uC_\varphi g_a\|_{\mathcal{B}} + \widetilde{\gamma} \\
&\approx \limsup_{n\to\infty}\|u\varphi^n\|_{\mathcal{B}} + \widetilde{\beta},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\widetilde{\alpha} = \limsup_{|\varphi(a)|\to 1}\alpha(u,\varphi,a)$, $\widetilde{\beta} = \limsup_{|\varphi(a)|\to 1}\beta(u,\varphi,a)$ and

$$g_a(z) = \Big(\log\frac{2}{1-\overline{\varphi(a)}z}\Big)^2\Big(\log\frac{2}{1-|\varphi(a)|^2}\Big)^{-1}.$$

Proof. Set $f_n(z) = z^n$. It is well known that $f_n \in \mathcal{B}$ and $f_n \to 0$ weakly in $\mathcal{B}$ as $n \to \infty$. Then

$$\|uC_\varphi\|_{e,\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}} \gtrsim \limsup_{n\to\infty}\|uC_\varphi f_n\|_{\mathcal{B}} = \limsup_{n\to\infty}\|u\varphi^n\|_{\mathcal{B}}. \tag{3.1}$$

Choose $a_n \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $|\varphi(a_n)| \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$. It is easy to check g_{a_n} are uniformly bounded in $\mathcal{B}$ and converges weakly to zero in $\mathcal{B}$ (see [14]). By these facts we obtain

$$\|uC_\varphi\|_{e,\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}} \gtrsim \limsup_{n\to\infty}\|uC_\varphi g_{a_n}\|_{\mathcal{B}} = \limsup_{|\varphi(a)|\to 1}\|uC_\varphi g_a\|_{\mathcal{B}}. \tag{3.2}$$

By (3.1) and (3.2), we obtain

$$\|uC_\varphi\|_{e,\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}} \gtrsim \limsup_{n\to\infty}\|u\varphi^n\|_{\mathcal{B}} + \limsup_{|\varphi(a)|\to 1}\|uC_\varphi g_a\|_{\mathcal{B}}. \tag{3.3}$$

From (i) of Proposition 2.12, we see that

$$\alpha(u,\varphi,a) \lesssim \frac{\beta(u,\varphi,a)}{\log\frac{2}{1-|\varphi(a)|^2}} + \|uC_\varphi f_a\|_{\mathcal{B}},$$

which together with Lemma 2.11 implies that

$$\widetilde{\alpha} = \limsup_{|\varphi(a)|\to 1} \alpha(u,\varphi,a) \lesssim \limsup_{|\varphi(a)|\to 1} \|uC_\varphi f_a\|_{\mathcal{B}} \lesssim \limsup_{n\to\infty} \|u\varphi^n\|_{\mathcal{B}}. \tag{3.4}$$

From (ii) and (iv) of Proposition 2.12, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\beta(u,\varphi,a) &\lesssim \alpha(u,\varphi,a) + \|(g_a\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a - g_a(\varphi(a)))\cdot(u\circ\sigma_a - u(a))\|_{A^2} \\ &\quad + \|(uC_\varphi g_a)\circ\sigma_a - (uC_\varphi g_a)(a)\|_{A^2} \\ &\lesssim \alpha(u,\varphi,a) + \|g_a\|_{\mathcal{B}} \frac{\|uC_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}}}{\sqrt{\log\frac{2}{1-|\varphi(a)|^2}}} + \|uC_\varphi g_a\|_{\mathcal{B}},\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\widetilde{\beta} = \limsup_{|\varphi(a)|\to 1} \beta(u,\varphi,a) \lesssim \widetilde{\alpha} + \limsup_{|\varphi(a)|\to 1} \|uC_\varphi g_a\|_{\mathcal{B}}. \tag{3.5}$$

By Lemma 3.1, (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|uC_\varphi\|_{e,\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}} &\gtrsim \widetilde{\alpha} + \widetilde{\gamma} + \limsup_{|\varphi(a)|\to 1} \|uC_\varphi g_a\|_{\mathcal{B}} \\ &\gtrsim \widetilde{\alpha} + \widetilde{\gamma} + \widetilde{\beta},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|uC_\varphi\|_{e,\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}} &\gtrsim \limsup_{n\to\infty} \|u\varphi^n\|_{\mathcal{B}} + \widetilde{\alpha} + \limsup_{|\varphi(a)|\to 1} \|uC_\varphi g_a\|_{\mathcal{B}} \\ &\gtrsim \limsup_{n\to\infty} \|u\varphi^n\|_{\mathcal{B}} + \widetilde{\beta}.\end{aligned}$$

Next we give the upper estimate for $\|uC_\varphi\|_{e,\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}}$. For $n \geq 0$, we define the linear operator on $\mathcal{B}$ by $(K_n f)(z) = f(\frac{n}{n+1}z)$. It is easy to check that K_n is a compact operator on $\mathcal{B}$. Thus

$$\|uC_\varphi\|_{e,\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}} \leq \limsup_{n\to\infty} \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}\leq 1} \|uC_\varphi(I-K_n)f\|_{\mathcal{B}},$$

where I is the identity operator. Let $S_n = I - K_n$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\|uC_\varphi\|_{e,\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}} &\leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \|uC_\varphi S_n\|_{\mathcal{B}} \\ &= \liminf_{n\to\infty} \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}\leq 1} \big(|u(0)(S_nf)(\varphi(0))| + \|(uC_\varphi S_n f\|_\beta\big) \qquad (3.6)\\ &= \liminf_{n\to\infty} \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}\leq 1} \|uC_\varphi S_n f\|_\beta.\end{aligned}$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq 1$. Fix $n \geq 0$, $r \in (0,1)$ and $t \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|uC_\varphi S_n f\|_\beta &\approx \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} \|(uC_\varphi S_n f)\circ\sigma_a - (uC_\varphi S_n f)(a)\|_{A^2} \\ &\leq \sup_{|\varphi(a)|\leq r} \|(uC_\varphi S_n f)\circ\sigma_a - (uC_\varphi S_n f)(a)\|_{A^2} \\ &\quad + \sup_{|\varphi(a)|>r} \|(uC_\varphi S_n f)\circ\sigma_a - (uC_\varphi S_n f)(a)\|_{A^2}. \qquad (3.7)\end{aligned}$$

By (iii) and (iv) of Proposition 2.11, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{|\varphi(a)|>r} \|(uC_\varphi S_n f)\circ\sigma_a - (uC_\varphi S_n f)(a)\|_{A^2} \\
&\lesssim \|S_n f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \sup_{|\varphi(a)|>r} \left(\alpha(u,\varphi,a) + \beta(u,\varphi,a) + \frac{\|uC_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}}}{\sqrt{\log\frac{2}{1-|\varphi(a)|^2}}}\right). \qquad (3.8)
\end{aligned}$$

In addition,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{|\varphi(a)|\le r} \|(uC_\varphi S_n f)\circ\sigma_a - (uC_\varphi S_n f)(a)\|_{A^2} \\
&\le \sup_{|\varphi(a)|\le r} \big(|(S_n f)(\varphi(a))|\|u\circ\sigma_a - u(a)\|_{A^2} \\
&\quad + \|u\circ\sigma_a\cdot((C_\varphi S_n f)\circ\sigma_a - (C_\varphi S_n f)(a))\|_{A^2}\big) \\
&\le \|u\|_{\mathcal{B}} \max_{|w|\le r} |(S_n f)(w)| + I_1^{1/2} + I_2^{1/2}, \qquad (3.9)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$I_1 = \sup_{|\varphi(a)|\le r} \int_{\mathbb{D}\setminus E(\varphi,a,t)} |(u\circ\sigma_a)(z)\cdot((S_n f)\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a(z) - (S_n f)(\varphi(a)))|^2 dA(z),$$

$$I_2 = \sup_{|\varphi(a)|\le r} \int_{E(\varphi,a,t)} |(u\circ\sigma_a)(z)\cdot((S_n f)\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a(z) - (S_n f)(\varphi(a)))|^2 dA(z).$$

Let $\varphi_a = \sigma_{\varphi(a)}\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a$. Then by (3.19) in [8, p.37], we have

$$|(S_n f)\circ\sigma_{\varphi(a)}\circ\varphi_a(z) - (S_n f\circ\varphi)(a)| \lesssim \sup_{|w|\le t} |\big((S_n f)\circ\sigma_{\varphi(a)}\big)(w) - (S_n f)(\varphi(a))|$$

for $z\in\mathbb{D}\setminus E(\varphi,a,t)$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u\circ\sigma_a\cdot\varphi_a\|_{A^2} &\le \|u\circ\sigma_a - u(a)\|_{A^2}\|\varphi_a\|_\infty + |u(a)|\|\varphi_a\|_2 \\
&\lesssim \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} \|u\circ\sigma_a - u(a)\|_{A^2} + \alpha(u,\varphi,a_n) \\
&\lesssim \|uC_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}},
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &\lesssim \sup_{|\varphi(a)|\le r}\sup_{|w|\le t} |\big((S_n f)\circ\sigma_{\varphi(a)}\big)(w) - (S_n f)(\varphi(a))|^2 \|u\circ\sigma_a\cdot\varphi_a\|_{A^2}^2 \\
&\lesssim \|uC_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}}^2 \sup_{|z|\le\frac{t+r}{1+tr}} |(S_n f)(z)|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(S_n f)\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a - (S_n f)(\varphi(a))\|_{A^4}^2 &\le \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} \|(S_n f)\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a - (S_n f)(\varphi(a))\|_{A^4}^2 \\
&\lesssim \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} \|f\circ\sigma_a - f(a)\|_{A^2}^2 \le 1,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &\le \sup_{|\varphi(a)|\le r} \Big(\int_{E(\varphi,a,t)} |u(\sigma_a(z))|^4 dA(z)\Big)^{1/2} \|(S_n f)\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a - (S_n f)(\varphi(a))\|_{A^4}^2 \\
&\le \sup_{|\varphi(a)|\le r} \Big(\int_{E(\varphi,a,t)} |u(\sigma_a(z))|^4 dA(z)\Big)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

By combining the above estimates, for $r \in (0,1)$ and $t \in (\frac{1}{2},1)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|uC_\varphi S_n f\|_\beta \\
\lesssim\ & \sup_{|\varphi(a)|>r}\Big(\alpha(u,\varphi,a)+\beta(u,\varphi,a)+\frac{\|uC_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}}}{\sqrt{\log\frac{2}{1-|\varphi(a)|^2}}}\Big)\\
&+\sup_{|\varphi(a)|\le r}\Big(\int_{E(\varphi,a,t)}|u(\sigma_a(z))|^4dA(z)\Big)^{1/4}+\sup_{|z|\le\frac{t+r}{1+tr}}|(S_nf)(z)|\|uC_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum over $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}\le 1$ and letting $n\to\infty$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|uC_\varphi\|_{e,\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}} \lesssim\ & \sup_{|\varphi(a)|>r}\Big(\alpha(u,\varphi,a)+\beta(u,\varphi,a)+\frac{\|uC_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}}}{\sqrt{\log\frac{2}{1-|\varphi(a)|^2}}}\Big)\\
&+\sup_{|\varphi(a)|\le r}\Big(\int_{E(\varphi,a,t)}|u(\sigma_a(z))|^4dA(z)\Big)^{1/4},
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\|uC_\varphi\|_{e,\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}}\lesssim\widetilde{\alpha}+\widetilde{\beta}+\widetilde{\gamma},$$

By (3.4) (3.5) and Lemma 3.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|uC_\varphi\|_{e,\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}} &\lesssim \widetilde{\beta}+\limsup_{n\to\infty}\|u\varphi^n\|_{\mathcal{B}}\\
&\lesssim \widetilde{\alpha}+\limsup_{|\varphi(a)|\to1}\|uC_\varphi g_a\|_{\mathcal{B}}+\limsup_{n\to\infty}\|u\varphi^n\|_{\mathcal{B}}\\
&\lesssim \limsup_{|\varphi(a)|\to1}\|uC_\varphi g_a\|_{\mathcal{B}}+\limsup_{n\to\infty}\|u\varphi^n\|_{\mathcal{B}}.
\end{aligned}$$

By (ii), (iv) of Proposition 2.12, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|uC_\varphi\|_{e,\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}}\\
\lesssim\ & \sup_{|\varphi(a)|>r}\Big(\alpha(u,\varphi,a)+\beta(u,\varphi,a)+\frac{\|uC_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}}}{\sqrt{\log\frac{2}{1-|\varphi(a)|^2}}}\Big)\\
&+\sup_{|\varphi(a)|\le r}\Big(\int_{E(\varphi,a,t)}|u(\sigma_a(z))|^4dA(z)\Big)^{1/4}\\
\lesssim\ & \sup_{|\varphi(a)|>r}\Big(\alpha(u,\varphi,a)+\|(uC_\varphi g_a)\circ\sigma_a-(uC_\varphi g_a)(a)\|_{A^2}\\
&+\|(u\circ\sigma_a-u(a))\cdot(g_a\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_a-g_a(\varphi(a)))\|_{A^2}+\frac{\|uC_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}}}{\sqrt{\log\frac{2}{1-|\varphi(a)|^2}}}\Big)\\
&+\Big(\int_{E(\varphi,a,t)}|u(\sigma_a(z))|^4dA(z)\Big)^{1/4}\\
\lesssim\ & \sup_{|\varphi(a)|>r}\Big(\alpha(u,\varphi,a)+\|uC_\varphi g_a\|_{\mathcal{B}}+\|g_a\|_{\mathcal{B}}\frac{\|uC_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}}}{\sqrt{\log\frac{2}{1-|\varphi(a)|^2}}}+\frac{\|uC_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}}}{\sqrt{\log\frac{2}{1-|\varphi(a)|^2}}}\Big)\\
&+\Big(\int_{E(\varphi,a,t)}|u(\sigma_a(z))|^4dA(z)\Big)^{1/4},
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\|uC_\varphi\|_{e,\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}} \lesssim \widetilde{\alpha} + \limsup_{|\varphi(a)|\to 1} \|uC_\varphi g_a\|_{\mathcal{B}} + \widetilde{\gamma}.$$

We complete the proof of the theorem. □

From Theorem 3.2, we immediately get the following characterizations for the compactness of the operator $uC_\varphi : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$.

Corollary 3.3. *Let $u \in H(\mathbb{D})$ and $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$ such that uC_φ is bounded on $\mathcal{B}$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(i) *The operator $uC_\varphi : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$ is compact.*

(ii)
$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \|u\varphi^n\|_{\mathcal{B}} = 0 \text{ and } \limsup_{|\varphi(a)|\to 1} \|uC_\varphi g_a\|_{\mathcal{B}} = 0.$$

(iii)
$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \|u\varphi^n\|_{\mathcal{B}} = 0 \text{ and } \limsup_{|\varphi(a)|\to 1} \beta(u,\varphi,a) = 0.$$

(iv)
$$\limsup_{|\varphi(a)|\to 1} \alpha(u,\varphi,a) = 0, \ \limsup_{|\varphi(a)|\to 1} \beta(u,\varphi,a) = 0,$$

and

$$\limsup_{r\to 1} \limsup_{t\to 1} \sup_{|\varphi(a)|\le r} \Big(\int_{E(\varphi,a,t)} |u(\sigma_a(z))|^4 dA(z) \Big)^{1/4} = 0.$$

(v)
$$\limsup_{|\varphi(a)|\to 1} \alpha(u,\varphi,a) = 0, \ \limsup_{|\varphi(a)|\to 1} \|uC_\varphi g_a\|_{\mathcal{B}} = 0,$$

and

$$\limsup_{r\to 1} \limsup_{t\to 1} \sup_{|\varphi(a)|\le r} \Big(\int_{E(\varphi,a,t)} |u(\sigma_a(z))|^4 dA(z) \Big)^{1/4} = 0.$$

References

[1] S. Axler, The Bergman space, the Bloch space and commutators of multiplication operators, *Duke J. Math.* **53** (1986), 315–332.

[2] P. Bourdon, J. Cima and A. Matheson, Compact composition operators on the BMOA, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **351** (1999), 2183–2196.

[3] F. Colonna, New criteria for boundedness and compactness of weighted composition operators mapping into the Bloch space, *Cent. Eur. J. Math.* **11** (2013), 55–73.

[4] F. Colonna, Weighted composition operators between H^∞ and BMOA, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **50** (2013), 185–200.

[5] P. Galindo, J. Laitila and J. Lindström, Essential norm for composition operators on BMOA, *J. Funct. Anal.* **265** (2013), 629–643.

[6] J. Garnett, *Bounded Analytic Functions*, Academic Press, New York, 1981.

[7] O. Hyvärinen and M. Lindström, Estimates of essential norm of weighted composition operators between Bloch-type spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **393** (2012), 38–44.

[8] J. Laitila, Weighted composition operator on BMOA, *Comput. Methods Funct. Theory* **9** (2007), 26–47.

[9] J. Laitila and J. Lindström, The essential norm for weighted composition operators on BMOA, *Math Z.* **279** (2015), 423–434.

[10] Z. Lou, Composition operators on Bloch type spaces, *Analysis* **23** (2003), 81–95.

[11] B. Maccluer and R. Zhao, Essential norm of weighted composition operators between Bloch-type spaces, *Rocky. Mountain J. Math.* **33** (2003), 1437–1458.

[12] K. Madigan and A. Matheson, Compact composition operators on the Bloch space, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **347** (1995), 2679–2687.
[13] J. Manhas and R. Zhao, New estimates of essential norms of weighted composition operators between Bloch type spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **389** (2012), 32–47.
[14] S. Ohno, K. Stroethoff and R. Zhao, Weighted composition operators between Bloch-type spaces, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **33** (2003), 191–215.
[15] J. Shapiro, The essential norm of a composition operator, *Ann. Math.* **127** (1987), 375–404.
[16] W. Smith, Composition operators between Bergman and Hardy spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **348**, (1996), 2331–2348.
[17] W. Smith, Compactness of composition operators on BMOA, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **127** (1999), 2715–2725.
[18] M. Tjani, *Compact composition operators on some Möbius invariant Banach space*, PhD dissertation, Michigan State University, 1996.
[19] H. Wulan, Compactness of composition operators on BMOA and VMOA, *Sci. China* **50** (2007), 997–1004.
[20] H. Wulan, D. Zheng and K. Zhu, Compact composition operators on BMOA and the Bloch space, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **137** (2009), 3861–3868.
[21] J. Xiao, Carleson measure, Atomic decomposition and free interpolation from Bloch space, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* **19** (1994), 35–46.
[22] R. Zhao, Essential norms of composition operators between Bloch type spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **138** (2010), 2537–2546.
[23] K. Zhu, *Operator Theory in Function Spaces*, Second edition. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence 2007.

Xiaosong Liu: Department of Mathematics, Jiaying University, Meizhou 514015, China;
E-mail address: gdxsliu@163.com

Songxiao Li: Institute os System Engineering, Macau University of Science and Technology, Avenida Wai Long, Taipa, Macau.
E-mail address: jyulsx@163.com